

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,417	10/01/2003	Peter Danko	046201-0109	5128	
Charles F Schi	7590 12/01/200	9	EXAM	INER	
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP			SCHATZ, CHRISTOPHER T		
1330 Connecti Washington, I	icut Avenue N W OC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
υ,			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action

Advisory Action	10/6/4,41/	DANKO, PETER	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 November 2009</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
3. 🛮 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li></ul>		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 31-42.			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.6-12.14.43 and 44</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Richard Crispino/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791	/CHRISTOPHER SCH/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791	ATZ/	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims such that further consideration and search is required..